

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CONFORMED COPY  
ORIGINAL FILED**  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

**JUL 14 2014**

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk  
By Darian Salisbury, Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

SAVEHOLLYWOOD.ORG aka PEOPLE  
FOR LIVABLE COMMUNITIES and  
HOLLYWOODIANS ENCOURAGING  
LOGICAL PLANNING, an association,

Petitioners/Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,

Respondents.

HOLLYWOOD CHAMBER OF  
COMMERCE,

Intervenor.

Case No. BS138370

**PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE  
AS AMENDED JULY 14, 2014**

Writ Hearing: September 16-17, 2013

Hon. Allan J. Goodman, Dept. West-P

1 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA:  
2 TO RESPONDENT CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND TO ALL PERSONS  
3 ACTING ON ITS BEHALF:

4 Judgment having been entered in the above-captioned case, ordering that a  
5 peremptory writ of mandate issue from this Court,

6 IT IS ORDERED THAT:

7 RESPONDENT CITY OF LOS ANGELES, together with its officers, employees,  
8 agents, boards, commissions, other subdivisions, representatives, and successors, shall,  
9 immediately upon receipt of this Writ, rescind, vacate, and set aside all actions approving  
10 the Hollywood Community Plan Update (“HCPU”) and all actions certifying the EIR  
11 adopted in connection therewith, as well as all related approvals issued in furtherance of the  
12 HCPU, including but not limited to the text and maps associated with the HCPU, the  
13 Resolution amending the Hollywood Community Plan, the adoption of rezoning actions  
14 taken to reflect zoning changes contained in the HCPU, all amendments to the General  
15 Plan Transportation and Framework Elements made to reflect changes in the HCPU, the  
16 adoption of the Statement of Overriding Considerations, the adoptions of the Mitigation  
17 and Monitoring Program, and the adoption of Findings in support of the foregoing;  
18 provided that the phrase “all related approvals” refers only to those quasi-legislative actions  
19 necessary to carry out the HCPU and the related California Environmental Quality Act  
20 (“CEQA”) documents, and that the provisions hereof are not intended to order that  
21 respondents rescind those adjudicatory approvals not challenged which the City may have  
22 made under the HCPU after its adoption by the City.

23 In the event that the RESPONDENT CITY OF LOS ANGELES exercises its  
24 discretion to amend the Hollywood Community Plan, its shall do so in a manner that  
25 conforms to the policies and objectives of the General Plan of the City of Los Angeles and  
26 the requirements of CEQA.

27 RESPONDENT CITY OF LOS ANGELES, its officers , employees, agents,  
28 boards, commissions and other subdivisions, shall be and are enjoined from granting any

1 authority, permits or entitlements which derive from the HCPU or its EIR until an  
2 adequate and valid EIR is prepared, circulated, and certified as complete, and such EIR is  
3 consistent with CEQA, applicable CEQA Guidelines, and all other applicable laws, and  
4 until legally adequate findings of consistency are made as required pursuant to the Charter  
5 of the City of Los Angeles and other applicable laws.

6 RESPONDENT IS FURTHER COMMANDED to make an initial return to this  
7 Peremptory Writ of Mandate under oath specifying what Respondent has done or is doing  
8 to comply with the writ, and to file that return with the Court, and serve that return by hand  
9 or facsimile upon Petitioners' counsel of record in this proceeding, no later than 90 days  
10 after issuance of this Writ and its service on Respondent. Any objections to said Return  
11 shall be filed no later than 40 days after the date of service of the Return.

12 Any petitioner may apply to this Court for an extension of time in which to file  
13 such objections by giving ex parte notice that an extension of time is being sought,  
14 provided that notice that such request is being made shall be given at least 72 hours prior to  
15 the date for the hearing of that request and provided that the text of any such ex parte  
16 application to extend time shall be delivered to each other party at least 24 hours prior to  
17 the hearing thereon. The reasons constituting good cause for granting that extension of  
18 time shall be stated in the ex parte application.

19  
20 In the event Respondents believe they have need to file multiple "final" returns, or  
21 any other "initial returns" they may not do so unless they first receive permission from this  
22 Court. To obtain that permission, they shall first apply ex parte and obtain that permission  
23 using the same notice and hearing provisions set out above. Respondents may not file any  
24 further "interim" returns without complying herewith.

25  
26  
27  
28

