1 2 3 4 JUL 14 2014 5 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk 6 By Darian Salisbury, Deputy 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 SAVEHOLLYWOOD.ORG aka PEOPLE Case No. BS138370 FOR LIVABLE COMMUNITIES and 12 PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE HOLLYWOODIANS ENCOURAGING 13 AS AMENDED JULY 14, 2014 LOGICAL PLANNING, an association, 14 Writ Hearing: September 16-17, 2013 Petitioners/Plaintiffs, 15 VS. Hon. Allan J. Goodman, Dept. West-P THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al., 16 Respondents. 17 HOLLYWOOD CHAMBER OF 18 COMMERCE, 19 Intervenor. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

AMENDED PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

2728

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

TO RESPONDENT CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND TO ALL PERSONS ACTING ON ITS BEHALF:

Judgment having been entered in the above-captioned case, ordering that a peremptory writ of mandate issue from this Court,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

RESPONDENT CITY OF LOS ANGELES, together with its officers, employees, agents, boards, commissions, other subdivisions, representatives, and successors, shall, immediately upon receipt of this Writ, rescind, vacate, and set aside all actions approving the Hollywood Community Plan Update ("HCPU") and all actions certifying the EIR adopted in connection therewith, as well as all related approvals issued in furtherance of the HCPU, including but not limited to the text and maps associated with the HCPU, the Resolution amending the Hollywood Community Plan, the adoption of rezoning actions taken to reflect zoning changes contained in the HCPU, all amendments to the General Plan Transportation and Framework Elements made to reflect changes in the HCPU, the adoption of the Statement of Overriding Considerations, the adoptions of the Mitigation and Monitoring Program, and the adoption of Findings in support of the foregoing; provided that the phrase "all related approvals" refers only to those quasi-legislative actions necessary to carry out the HCPU and the related California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") documents, and that the provisions hereof are not intended to order that respondents rescind those adjudicatory approvals not challenged which the City may have made under the HCPU after its adoption by the City.

In the event that the RESPONDENT CITY OF LOS ANGELES exercises its discretion to amend the Hollywood Community Plan, its shall do so in a manner that conforms to the policies and objectives of the General Plan of the City of Los Angeles and the requirements of CEQA.

RESPONDENT CITY OF LOS ANGELES, its officers, employees, agents, boards, commissions and other subdivisions, shall be and are enjoined from granting any

authority, permits or entitlements which derive from the HCPU or its EIR until an adequate and valid EIR is prepared, circulated, and certified as complete, and such EIR is consistent with CEQA, applicable CEQA Guidelines, and all other applicable laws, and until legally adequate findings of consistency are made as required pursuant to the Charter of the City of Los Angeles and other applicable laws.

RESPONDENT IS FURTHER COMMANDED to make an initial return to this Peremptory Writ of Mandate under oath specifying what Respondent has done or is doing to comply with the writ, and to file that return with the Court, and serve that return by hand or facsimile upon Petitioners' counsel of record in this proceeding, no later than 90 days after issuance of this Writ and its service on Respondent. Any objections to said Return shall be filed no later than 40 days after the date of service of the Return.

Any petitioner may apply to this Court for an extension of time in which to file such objections by giving ex parte notice that an extension of time is being sought, provided that notice that such request is being made shall be given at least 72 hours prior to the date for the hearing of that request and provided that the text of any such ex parte application to extend time shall be delivered to each other party at least 24 hours prior to the hearing thereon. The reasons constituting good cause for granting that extension of time shall be stated in the ex parte application.

In the event Respondents believe they have need to file multiple "final" returns, or any other "initial returns" they may not do so unless they first receive permission from this Court. To obtain that permission, they shall first apply ex parte and obtain that permission using the same notice and hearing provisions set out above. Respondents may not file any further "interim" returns without complying herewith.

	1	The Court reserves jurisdiction in this action until there has been full compliance		
THE SILVERSTEIN LAW FIRM, APC 215 North Marengo Avenue, 3 rd Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-1504	2	with this Writ as provided in Code of Civil Procedure Section 1097.		
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6		S	HERRRI R. CARTER, CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	7			
	8			D. SALISBURY
	9	DATED: July 14, 2014	By:	i W. SPADABO ZZZ
	10			Deputy Clerk
	11			
	12			
	13			
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			- 3 -
		AMENDED PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE		