1	Hydee Feldstein Soto, City Attorney (SBN 106866X) Valerie L. Flores, Chief Deputy City Attorney (SBN 138572) John W. Heath, Chief Assistant City Attorney (SBN 194215)		
2			
3	Robert M. Mahlowitz, Deputy City Attorne	• ` '	
4	Los Angeles City Attorney's Office 200 North Main Street, 701 City Hall East		
5	Los Angeles, California 90012 Tel: 213.978.8205		
6	Fax: 213.978.8090		
7	E-Mail: robert.mahlowitz@lacity.org Attorneys for Respondent, City of Los Angeles		
8			
9	The Tee Gov t Code y 0102		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
12			
13	Plated Personal Chef Services, LTD., a New York corporation dba Saucy Bird,	Case No.: 24STCP02773	
14	Petitioner,	Honorable Stephen I. Goorvitch Department 82	
15	i cutioner,		
16	VS.	City of Los Angeles' Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Opposition to Petitioner's	
17	City of Los Angeles, a Municipal Corporation; The City of Los Angeles	Preliminary Injunction Motion	
18	City Council; and Does 1 Through 10,	(Exhibits 2-7, 9 & 12-15 to Declaration of Robert	
19	inclusive,	M. Mahlowitz)	
20	Respondent.	Action Filed: August 28, 2024	
21		Hearing Date: September 25, 2024	
22		Place: Dept. 82 Time: 9:30 a.m	
23		1 IIIIe. 9.30 a.iii	
24			
25	Respondent City of Los Angeles ("City") submits this request for judicial notice,		
26	pursuant to Evidence Code sections 450 et. seq. and California Rule of Court 3.1306(c), in		
27	support of its opposition to Petitioner's motion for a preliminary injunction pursuant of		
28	Evidence Code sections 450 et. seq. and Cal	ifornia Rule of Court 3.1306(c), for the reasons set	

forth below. The City refers to each of the Exhibits attached to the Declaration of Robert Mahlowitz filed in support of the City's motion as "Exhibits." The City requests judicial notice of Exhibits 2-7 & 9 (City official actions) and Exhibits 12-15 (Legislative history).

A. Notice of the City's Requests for Judicial Notice has been Provided

Evidence Code section 453 provides that a trial court shall take judicial notice "of any matter specified in Section 452 if a party requests it and: (a) gives each adverse party sufficient notice of the request ... to enable such adverse party to prepare to meet the request; and (b) furnishes the court with sufficient information to enable it to take judicial notice of the matter."

The City has served this request on Petitioner concurrently with the Declaration of Robert Mahlowitz and the attached Exhibits, which includes all the exhibits for which the City seeks judicial notice. Below, the City provides the court with sufficient information to take judicial notice of each Exhibit, thereby satisfying the requirements of Evidence Code section 453. Pursuant to Evidence Code section 453 or section 452, judicial notice of the Exhibits is warranted.

B. All of the Exhibits are Relevant to issues raised by Petitioner's Motion for Preliminary Injunction and/or the City's Opposition

Exhibits 2-7 & 9 are relevant to demonstrating the project which Petitioner seeks to delay and/or prevent is a response to a public emergency, which shows the harm to the City and public if Petitioner's injunction were granted. Exhibits 5, 6 & 9 are also relevant to showing the change to the Midvale Project lots is temporary and in response to an emergency, showing Code of Civil Procedure section 1245.245 is not triggered because no permanent change in use exists. This shows Petitioner's writ stands no possibility of success because the writ asserts solely a City violation of Section 1245.245 which does not apply.

Exhibits 12-15 are relevant to showing the legislature's intent that Section 1245.245 applies only to a property acquired via eminent domain after January 1, 2007, thus, not to the Midvale Project lots at issue here, acquired in 1990. This shows Petitioner's writ stands no possibility of success because it asserts solely a City violation of Section 1245.245.

C. Authentication and Evidence Code 452(h) (All Exhibits)

City Exhibits 2-7, 9 & 12-15 are authenticated individually by the concurrently filed Declaration of Robert M. Mahlowitz, demonstrating they are official records of the California. Evidence Code section 452(h) authorizes the Court to take judicial notice of facts or propositions "not reasonably subject to dispute and are capable of immediate and accurate determination by resort to sources of reasonably indisputable accuracy." Exhibits City Exhibits 2-7 & 12-15 are records found on websites maintained by public entities. In addition to the other provision of the Evidence Code authorizing judicial notice of them noted below, the exhibits are subject to judicial notice as matters not reasonably subject to dispute, capable of immediate and accurate determination.

D. Official Acts and Regulations (All RJN Exhibits)

Evidence Code Section 452(b), allows judicial notice of "[r]egulations and legislative enactments issued by or under the authority of the United States or any public entity in the United States." Evidence Code Section 452(c) allows judicial notice of "Official acts of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the United States and of any state of the United States. Judicial notice of Exhibits 2-7, 9 & 12-15 are proper pursuant to Section 452(b) and/or 452(c) for the following reasons:

1. The City's Official Acts [Exhibits 2-7 & 9]

- Exhibit 2 is a copy of City of Los Angeles Ordinance 187922. (RMM Decl., ¶ 3).
- Exhibit 3 is copy of the City of Los Angeles Mayor's July 7, 2023, Declaration of Local Housing and Homelessness Emergency. (*Id.*, ¶ 4)
- Exhibit 4 is a copy of the August 4, 2023 report to the Los Angeles City Council, titled, "Housing and Homelessness Emergency Action Plan," part of the City's official records within City Clerk Council File No. 23-0652. (*Id.*, ¶ 5)
- Exhibits 5 -7 constitute the City of Los Angeles' Official Act via resolution approving the Midvale shelter which is the subject of the Petition. ((Id., \P 6).
- Exhibit 9 is an October 18, 2023, Report to the City of Los Angeles Board of Transportation Commissioners, approved by the Board. (*Id.*, ¶ 8 & Exh. 9 at p. 4).

Exhibits 2-7 and 9 are official acts of the City. Pursuant to either Evidence Code section

452(b) or (c), a court may judicially notice the official resolutions, reports, and other official acts of a city. (*E.g., Trinity Park, L.P. v. City of Sunnyvale* (2011) 193 Cal.App.4th 1014, 1027 disapproved on other grounds by *Sterling Park, L.P. v. City of Palo Alto* (2013) 57 Cal.4th 1193).

2. State Bills, Legislative Reports and Legislative Counsel Digests [Exhibits 12-15]

- Exhibit 12 is enacted Senate Bill 1650 (2006-2007) (RMM Decl., ¶ 11).
- Exhibit 13 are portions of five Legislative reports for SB 1640 (*Id.*, ¶ 12)
- Exhibit 14 is the Legislative Council Digest report for SB 1650 (*Id.*, ¶ 13)
- Exhibit 15 contains a copy of a portion of AB-299 (2007-2008) "Maintenance of the codes" including the Legislative Counsel's Digest. (*Id.*, ¶ 14).

Exhibits 12 and 15 qualify as either regulations or legislative enactments thus are subject to judicial notice. (*Carlton Browne & Co. v. Superior Court* (1989) 210 Cal.App.3d 35, 40–41). The 2nd District Appellate Court has explained:

When considering extrinsic aids, "legislative history, comments by commissions that proposed the statute, and interpretative comments by official commissions such as [the California Law Revision Commission] as to legislative purpose are entitled to significant weight." (*Dieckmann v. Superior Court* (1985) 175 Cal.App.3d 345, 353, 220 Cal.Rptr. 602.) "Statements of legislative committees pertaining to the purpose of legislation are presumed to express the legislative intent of statutes as enacted." (*Altaville Drug Store, Inc. v. Employment Development Department* (1988) 44 Cal.3d 231, 238, 242 Cal.Rptr. 732, 746 P.2d 871.) The Legislative Counsel's Digest is also relevant when attempting to ascertain the intent of the Legislature. (*California Teachers' Assn. v. Governing Board* (1983) 141 Cal.App.3d 606, 613, 190 Cal.Rptr. 453.)

(Carlton Browne & Co. v. Superior Court (1989) 210 Cal.App.3d 35, 40–41). Thus, Exhibits 12 -15 are all the proper subject of judicial notice.

- E. Published Adopted Bills and Legislative Counsel Digests are Admissible without Judicial Notice (Exhibits 12, 14 & 15)
 - Exhibit 12 is enacted Senate Bill 1650 (2006-2007) (RMM Decl., ¶ 11).

- Exhibit 14 is the Legislative Council Digest report for SB 1650 (*Id.*, ¶ 13)
- Exhibit 15 contains a copy of a portion of AB-299 (2007-2008) "Maintenance of the codes" including the Legislative Counsel's Digest. (*Id.*, ¶ 14).

Although Exhibits 12, 14 &15 qualify for judicial notice as indicated above, judicial notice is not required of published government records including Legislative Council Digest reports. (*Merced Irrigation Dist. v. Superior Court* (2017) 7 Cal.App.5th 916, 933; *People v. Rodriguez* (2012) 55 Cal.4th 1125, 1129).

II. Conclusion

Because the City has demonstrated that Exhibits 1-7, 9 & 12-15 are all relevant to the motion filed by Petitioner seeking a preliminary injunction, all are authenticated, and all are properly the subject of judicial notice, the City requests the Court take judicial of each of the exhibits.

Dated: September 18, 2024 Hydee Feldstein Soto, City Attorney
Valerie L. Flores, Chief Deputy City Attorney
John W. Heath, Chief Assistant City Attorney

By:

Robert M. Mahlowitz, Deputy City Attorney Attorney for Respondent, City of Los Angeles

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	I, the undersigned, say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action or proceeding. My business address is 200 North Main Street, 701 City Hall East, Los Angeles, California 90012.	
4	On September 18, 2024, I served the foregoing documents described as: City of Los	
5	Angeles Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Opposition to Petitioner's Preliminary Injunction Motion on all interested parties in this action as follows:	
6	Tremmary injunction violion on an interested parties in this action as follows.	
7		
8	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST	
9		
10	BY MAIL – I placed a copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed to each	
11	addressee stated above. I deposited such envelope for collection, processing and mailing by United States mail by my office in the ordinary course of business. I am	
readily familiar with the business practice of my office for collection, proce mailing of correspondence by the United States mail. Under that practice, it collected and deposited with first class postage thereon fully prepaid with the States Postal Service on that same day, at Los Angeles, California. I am away	readily familiar with the business practice of my office for collection, processing, and	
	collected and deposited with first class postage thereon fully prepaid with the United	
	States Postal Service on that same day, at Los Angeles, California. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postage cancellation date or	
15	postage meter date is more than one (1) day after the date of deposit for mailing in	
16	affidavit; and/or	
17	[X] BY ELECTRONIC MAIL – I electronically transmitted the document listed above to	
18	the email address stated above which has been confirmed for each addressee stated above. My electronic service address is leilany.roman@lacity.org .	
19	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose	
20	direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State	
21	of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 18, 2024, at Los Angeles, California.	
22	$\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$	
23	July Clan	
24	Leilany Roman	
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	SERVICE LIST
2	
3 4 5 6 7	DARIN R. MARGULES NICOLE V. ROSENBERG LAW OFFICE OF DARIN MARGULES, PLC 17835 Ventura Blvd., Suite 104 Encino, CA 91316 Telephone: (818) 344-5900 darin@marguleslawfirm.com Case No. 24STCP02773
8	Attorney for PLATED PERSONAL CHEF SERVICES LTD
9 10 11 12 13	LARRY SLADE, ESQ., SLADE LAW 14146 Killion St., Suite 100 Sherman Oaks, CA 91401 Telephone: (818) 997-8585 larry@sladelaw.com Case No. 24STCP02773 Attorney for PLATED REPSONAL CHEE SERVICES LTD
14	Attorney for PLATED PERSONAL CHEF SERVICES LTD
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	